Vaccine 36 (2018) 2721-2726

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Vaccine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine

Hepatitis B infection control in Colombian Amazon after 15 years of hepatitis B vaccination. Effectiveness of birth dose and current prevalence



Vaccine

Diego Garcia^g, Alexandra Porras^{a,*}, Alejadro Rico Mendoza^b, Nelson Alvis^c, Maria Cristina Navas^d, Fernando De La Hoz^e, Marlen De Neira^f, Elkin Osorio^g, José Fernando Valderrama^g

^a Grupo de epidemiología y evaluación en salud pública, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia

^b Grupo Salud Comunitaria, Universidad El Bosque, Colombia

^c Grupo economía de la salud, Universidad de Cartagena, ALZAK Foundation, Colombia

^d Grupo de Gastrohepatologia, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia

^e Grupo de epidemiologia y evaluación en salud pública, Instituto Nacional de Salud, Colombia

^f Instituto Nacional de Salud, Colombia

^g Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social, Colombia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 March 2017 Received in revised form 3 November 2017 Accepted 5 November 2017 Available online 30 March 2018

Keywords: Hepatitis B Prevalence Effectiveness of HBV birth dose Colombia

ABSTRACT

Background: Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is highly endemic in the Colombian Amazon basin. In Colombia, the universal hepatitis B vaccination in that area has been active since 1993. The program targets children aged under five years. Newborns receive at least three doses, and in 2001, HBV vaccine birth dose was included. This study aimed to evaluate the advances on HBV control in the Colombian Amazon. *Methods:* A population-based cross-sectional study was conducted in children less than 11 years old in rural areas of the Colombian Amazon, in order to assess the current levels of HBV prevalence and evaluate the effectiveness of HBV vaccination. Participants were selected from villages scattered along the Amazon, Putumayo and Loretoyaco Rivers. Blood samples were taken from children. All the samples were examined for surface antigen (HBSAg) and IgG antibodies against core antigen (AntiHBc) of HBV. Data on HBV vaccination status and other risk factors were also collected.

Results: Blood samples from 1275 children were included in the study. The positivity for IgG AntiHBC and HBsAg was 3.8% and 0.5%, respectively. It was observed that receiving a dose of HBV vaccine within 48 h after birth decreased the risk of HBV infection and carriage by 95%. Being born to an AntiHBc positive mother increased 8 times the risk of HBV infection (OR = 7.8 CI 95% 3.3–10.2) and 7 times the risk of HBSAg carriage (OR = 6.6 CI 95% 2.1–10.1).

Conclusion: The prevalence of HBV infection and HBsAg carriage continues to decrease among children living in the Colombian Amazon. The high protective effectiveness of an HBV birth does suggest that perinatal transmission is important in endemic areas of Latin America, an aspect that has not been fully studied in the region.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Currently, there are around 240 million chronic carriers of HBsAg around the world, which are at the highest risk of developing chronic liver diseases such as primary liver cirrhosis and hepatocelular carcinoma [1]. Most countries in Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) are classified as low endemic areas, but there are

* Corresponding author at: Universidad EL Bosque, Grupo de Medicina Comunitaria y Salud Colectiva.

E-mail address: porras.alexandra@gmail.com (A. Porras).

some high endemicity spots as well [2]. One of the most extensively studied high endemic areas in LAC is the Amazon basin, an area that is shared by Brazil, Colombia, Venezuela, Peru, and Ecuador. In the pre-vaccine era, the prevalence of HBsAg among adults was above 7–26%, especially in rural areas, and there were frequent outbreaks of fulminant hepatitis related to hepatitis delta virus (HDV) [3–5].

A highly effective Hepatitis B vaccine is available since the 1980s [6]. Its effectiveness to decrease the prevalence of HBV infection, HBsAg carriage, and primary liver cancer has been



demonstrated in high endemic areas, in particular in Africa [7] and South-East Asia [8–10].

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that all countries should use a scheme that includes a birth dose (administered within the first 24 h after birth) plus at least two doses using a monovalent or combined vaccine following the schedules with diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus vaccine (DTP) [11].

Despite LAC countries started universal hepatitis B vaccination in the mid-1990s, there are still gaps in knowledge about the effectiveness of HBV vaccination in high endemicity areas in the region [12]. Epidemiology of hepatitis B was extensively studied in the pre-vaccine era, but by contrast, there are only three studies published so far describing it after vaccine introduction [4,13,14]. Furthermore, these studies have produced conflicting results. Braga et al. 2012 [13], found a low effectiveness of HBV vaccination, around 30%, while De la Hoz et al., 2008 [14], and Cabezas et al., 2014 [4] reported a substantial reduction of HBsAg carriage and HBV infection in the postvaccine era.

The Colombian Ministry of Health introduced universal HBV vaccination in the Amazon in 1993-targeting newborns and children <5 years- using a three doses scheme with a monovalent vaccine manufactured in Cuba. In 2001, it changed to a schedule composed of a birth dose, with a monovalent vaccine, and three subsequent doses using a pentavalent vaccine (DTP + *Haemophilus influenza* type b (Hib) + HBV). In 1999, a serological survey study found a reduction of \approx 70% in HBV infection and HBsAg carriage among children of urban and rural areas in the Colombian Amazon state despite health services' struggle to provide timely access to HBV vaccine for rural population [14].

Vaccinating rural communities in the Amazon state also was introduced in 1993, and it was considered an area of high endemicity for hepatitis which has the highest endemicity for hepatitis B. In this area, it was a particularly challenging because of geographical, logistical, and cultural constraints. Several studies have shown that most children have received at least two doses of HBV vaccine, but timely coverage is low, especially with the birth dose [15,16]. The present study aimed to assess the current epidemiology of HBV infection and carriage among Amazonian children born after the vaccine policy shift-introduction of birth dose and switch to pentavalent vaccine, in order to inform the public and health authorities on the advances to control HBV infection, and the current challenges faced by the policy. It presents the magnitude of HBV infection as well as the estimated effectiveness of HBV vaccination.

2. Methods

A serological and epidemiological survey was carried out in order to assess the advances in the control of HBV infection in the Colombian Amazon state, Southeast, Colombia. The study comprised two main objectives: (1) the evaluation of the coverage with HBV vaccine including the proportion of children vaccinated with a timely birth dose and, (2) the prevalence of HBV infection and HBsAg carriage in children under 11 years old, and their mothers and the effectiveness of HBV vaccination strategies. Results from the HBV vaccination coverage and factors hampering vaccination timeliness have been already been studied [15,16].

The main population methods used in this study have been described previously [15]. The present study presents the specific methodological aspects related to the serological study. The survey was conducted between July 2011 and March 2012. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the School of Medicine at the National University.

2.1. Study site

The study was carried out in three municipalities of the Amazonas state: Leticia, Puerto Nariño, and Tarapaca. The municipalities were selected based on previous knowledge of HBV infection epidemiology in this region. Leticia and Puerto Nariño concentrated the largest proportion of the region's population, while Tarapaca was included because it represents the isolated rural areas of the Amazon where a substantial proportion of HBV infection occurred in the pre-vaccine era [5].

2.2. Sample size

The sample size was calculated to assess an HBsAg carriage prevalence of 1% within a margin of error of 0.5% and 95% of confidence level. A sample size of 1300 children would be needed to fulfill those assumptions. At the end, 1275 children were included in the serological study.

2.3. Study population and field procedures

Rural areas from the municipalities selected for the study were included in the sampling due to previous data showing that rural areas bear the largest burden of HBV infection [5,14]. In Leticia, 12 out of 21 rural communities were included in the study. In Puerto Nariño, 17 out of 20 were included, and 8 out of 13 rural communities were included in Tarapacá.

The community approval was obtained from community leaders after explaining the objectives and procedures of the study. A field work team, composed of bacteriologists and nurses visited every household with children of an eligible age in every selected village once approval was obtained from the community. At every household with eligible children, parents were asked to give the permission for their children to participate in the study. If they agreed to participate, then they were invited to sign a consent form. They were specifically asked to allow for a blood sample to be drawn from children and children's mothers, as well to answer a questionnaire on the vaccination status of the children, the potential risk factors for HBV infection. Children less than 11 years of age with HBV vaccination status data information were considered eligible for the study.

2.4. Serological outcomes

A person with HBV infection was defined as someone who has a positive result for the serological Anti-HBc marker by ELISA. Anti-HBc-positive and HBsAg-negative markers were considered as a resolved infection with or without lifelong immunity. An HBsAg carrier was defined as someone with a positive result for the serological marker HBsAg after 6 months of age. The positivity for HBsAg was considered as a marker for asymptomatic carrier status, which in the presence of other markers may show active or chronic active infection. Individuals with a positive result to both, anti-HBc and HBsAg were considered as a frequent infection and a risk of transmitting the disease (Table 1).

2.5. Exposures

The main exposures were: (1) HBV vaccination status with a separate analysis for timely vaccination with the birth dose of HBV vaccine. When vaccination records were not available or difficult to read, the Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) databases was consulted (SIVIGILA, Instituto Nacional de Salud Colombia). These databases are updated by the EPI nurses and contain vaccination data from every child from rural areas.

Table 1		
Hepatitis B markers	used in	the study.

Infection phase	Serological markers					
	HBsAg	Anti HBc	DNA	ORFs		
Late incubation period	+	_	_	_		
Acute hepatitis first phase	+	+	+	+		
Acute hepatitis second phase	+	+	_	-		
Acute hepatitis third phase or recovery with immunity	_	+	_	-		
Chronic hepatitis	+	_/+	+	+		
Healthy carrier	+	+	_/+	+		

A timely birth dose should be given in the first 24 h after delivery as recommended by the WHO [11]; however, vaccination cards showed dates of birth delivery and HBV birth dose, but they lacked the data on the exact hour of both variables. Therefore, in the present study, a timely birth dose was defined a one administered on the same date of delivery or the day after, which means delivered in the first 48 h after birth. A timely HBV vaccination scheme was defined as a timely birth dose plus 3 doses of pentavalent vaccine at 2, 4 and 6 months with at least 30 days interval between each dose.

2.6. Laboratory procedures

A blood sample was taken from both children. The serum was obtained and stored at -70 °C. All samples were evaluated for serological markers anti-HBc and HBsAg by Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA). Samples anti-HBc+ were analyzed by PCR for HBV genome detection and sequencing. DNA extraction was performed from 200 µL of serum sample using a commercial kit (QIAmp DNA mini kit, QIAGEN, Germany); some modifications of centrifugation times and additional washings were included. A serum HBV+ with a viral load of 50.000 IU/mL and a sample of liver tissue from a bank of explants tissues HBV+ were used as positive controls in the PCR. Three PCRs were carried out for amplification of the three regions of HBV ORF S (S, PreS1, and PreS2) [17]. The S region was amplified using a nested PCR with 5 U of Biolasa polymerase (Bioline, UK), 2.5 mM of each dNTPs, 10× reaction buffer, 25 mM of MgCl₂, 10 μ M of YS1 and YS2 primers and 5 μ L of DNA in a final volume of 50 µL for the first round. For the second round, $2 \mu l$ of first round PCR product was added to $23 \mu l$ of the reaction mixture under the same conditions as the first round using primers S3s/S3as. Amplification of region PreS1 was carried out with P1/P2 primers for the first round and 2440p/58n primers for the second round, in a final volume of 50 µL 164 and 25 µL, respectively. PreS2 region was amplified using P3006f/P213r primers in a final volume of 25 µL for both rounds. The PCRs products were visualized in 2% agarose gels stained with SYBR green at a 10 µg/mL concentration. The gels were photo-documented with the 2UV Transilluminator Digital Imaging System equipment (UVP, USA).

2.7. Statistical analysis

An Excel database was constructed to store the variables collected on the field. All the statistical analysis was done using STATA 12. The frequency of serological outcomes and main exposures were described using proportions and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) proportions. For other covariates, proportions, means or medians with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were used according to the probability distribution. Participants in the study were selected by village, so theoretically their characteristics tended to be clustered at that level. Therefore, the survey svy commands in Stata were used to adjust the clustering effect of the design.

The strength of the relationship between HBsAg carriage and vaccination status was assessed using Odds ratios (OR) (95% CI).

A multivariable logistic regression model was built to control for the potential confounding effect of mother's serological status, age, and other potentially confounding variables.

2.8. Ethical aspects

The research protocol was approved by the Ethic committee medical ethics committee of the school of medicine, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, October 8, 2010. All participants were informed of the purpose and procedures of the study, and all of them gave a signed consent to participate. In addition, the children signed the required informed consent. Individuals positive for HBsAg marker were derived to their health management organization (HMO). A notification to the local health services was made to include the patients in the surveillance activities and monitor whether their take the appropriate steps to provide them health care and antiviral treatment.

3. Results

In total 1214 children were surveyed, of whom 42.1% lived in a rural area in Leticia, 24.9% in Tarapacá, 24.5% in Nariño and 8.5% in Puerto Santander. The proportion of female was 50.2% (610). The average age was 7.4 years (DE = 3.4 years) with minimum 1 month of age [25] and a maximum of 11 years old. A total of 46 (3.6%) samples from 1275 children were positive to the anti-HBc marker, of these, 7 (0.5%) were positive for both the anti-HBc and HBsAg. By age it is observed that in children younger than 4.5 years, no positive markers were found, in children from 4.6 to 7.3 years, 18 of the children had a positive marker for anti HBc and in those older than 10 years was in the group where the two children were found with DNA positive for HBV.

Children with timely vaccination (birth dose, and doses at 2, 4 and 6 months) had 70% less risk of being infected with HBV compared with those who did not (OR = 0.23, 95% CI 0.09–0.51). On the other hand, infants born to mothers HBsAg+ increased 2.5-fold the risk of being HBsAg carrier (OR = 2.45, 95% CI 1.33–4.46). Thirty-one anti-HBc+ samples (24 samples anti-HBc+/HBsAg– and 7 anti-HBc+/HBsAg+) were analyzed for the HBV genome. Two samples (2/31, 8.3%) were positive for the ORF S by PCR. The serological marker anti-HBc was detected in 176/572 (30.9%) (CI 95% 27.1–34.7) serum samples obtained from mothers, of these 52/572 (9%, CI 95% 6.4–11.1) were positive for both markers anti-HBc and HBsAg. Five anti-HBc+ serum samples were positive for the ORF S PCR (5/159, 3.1%) (110 samples anti-HBc+/HBsAg–, and 49 anti-HBc+/HBsAg+) (Table 2). All the positive blood samples were analyzed in duplicate.

In the children surveyed, a vaccination card was found to 93.0% (n = 1130). The children were vaccinated against hepatitis B in 90.8% of the cases, and only 22.8% (n = 277) had the age-appropriate vaccination against hepatitis B. The percentage of children who received a dose born for hepatitis B vaccine was 81.0% (n = 894), of these, only 34.1% (n = 305) had the dose before 48 h after birth. A total of 1059 children received the first dose of

Table 2HBV infection markers in Amerindian children and mothers from the Amazonas.

Serological and molecular markers of HBV infection	Children n = 1275	Mothers n = 572
Anti-HBc+	46/1275 (3.6%)	177/572 (30.9%)
Anti-HBc+/HBsAg+	7/1275 (0.5%)	52/572 (9%)
Anti-HBc+/HBsAg–	38/1275 (2.9%)	124/572 (21.6%)
Viral genome detection (S region)	2/31 (6.4%)	5/159 (3.1%)
Anti-HBc+/HBsAg–/DNA HBV+	2/24 (8.3%)	0/110 (0%)

the pentavalent vaccine equivalent to 89.7%, of these 58.9% (n = 624), received this dose in a timely manner according to age. The second dose was administrated in 89.7% (n = 1059) of the children, and 51.0% (n = 620) had the vaccine according to age. The third dose of the pentavalent vaccine was applied to 86.9% (n = 1056), of which it was timely for age 41.0% (n = 434) (Table 3). Hepatitis B coverage based on the survey was higher than that reported by the Ministry of Health and Social Protection. In the survey areas, the highest hepatitis B coverage was in Leticia with 96.5% followed by Tarapacá with 92.7% (Table 3).

No differences were found by sex in children with hepatitis B infection (OR = 0.74, 95% CI 0.13–3.60, *p* Fisher = .494). Children younger than 10.2 years with HBV infection were no found. Infected children were found to the age group older than 10.3 years. There were also no differences in having received breast milk.

It was found that children vaccinated against hepatitis B presented a 99% lower risk of having been infected compared to unvaccinated children. This difference was statistically significant (OR = 0.01, 95% CI 0.00–0.10, Fisher P < .001) (Table 3). Children vaccinated opportunely against hepatitis B had no positive marker for the surface antigen (p = .1312) (Table 4).

Children with newborn doses against hepatitis B had a probability of 0.09 times of having the HBsAg positive marker than children without this dose and that difference is statistically significant (OR = 0.09, 95% CI 0.01–0, 46). Of the 7 children with a positive marker for HBsAg, only 2 had newborn doses after 48 h of birth (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Hepatitis B vaccination in the Colombian Amazon has proven to be highly successful according to the results of this study. Currently, less than 1% of children were found to be HBsAg carriers compared to 2% found in a study conducted in 1999, 8 years after vaccine introduction [14]. This further decrease in HBsAg carriage seems to reflect an important progress towards control of hepatitis B transmission among rural communities in the Colombian Amazon. In the Peruvian Amazon, a recent study by Cabezas et al., 2014 [4] has found a similar decrease in endemic levels of HBsAg carriage after universal HBV vaccination was introduced. Results from both studies suggest that the goal of <1% of carriers in children have been achieved in the Amazon.

On the other hand, mixed results have been reported from the Brazilian Amazon. Miranda Braga et al. [13] reported a lower HBV vaccination effectiveness, around 20%, and concomitantly a higher prevalence of HBsAg carriage after 19 years of HBV vaccination efforts [13]. However, other Brazilian researchers have informed a low prevalence of HBV infection in many other areas of the Brazilian Amazon. They found that HBV infection was only 1% of people born after HBV vaccine introduction [18]. Our results also remark the importance of increasing the efforts to improve the coverage with a timely birth dose in the Amazon's rural settings. It has been unclear what the role of perinatal transmission is in highly endemic areas of Latin America (LAC). In recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Ott et al., 2012 [19] it was found a lower prevalence of HBeAg among HBsAg childbearing-aged women in tropical LAC compared to prevalence in Asia Pacific (49% vs 53% at age 10–19 years: 36% vs 43% at age 20–29 years: and 27% vs 32% at age 30–39 years). We found that a late birth dose is related to a huge increase in the risk of becoming an HBsAg carrier, which suggests that perinatal transmission is important because horizontal transmission is now less so, due to the increment on the proportion of people exposed to the HBV vaccine. Observed HBsAg prevalence data are lacking in some regions and the quality of studies reporting these data is often low. Middle and low-income regions, e.g. Oceania, Central Asia, and Andean Latin America had a limited evidence base or studies were concentrated on one country as is the case with India as part of the South Asian region or Thailand located in the South East Asian region. To address the problems of representativeness, gray and non-English literature should be considered and studies on the prevalence of HBsAg were not available for the region.

However, an increasing the proportion of people receiving a timely birth dose may be challenging. Choconta Piraquive et al. [15] reported that the probability of receiving a timely birth dose is related to the chance of giving birth in a health facility, something that is difficult for many people living in rural areas of the Amazon. In Brazil, it has also been reported that compliance with birth dose is lower than expected even for urban populations [20].

In countries with high endemicity (prevalence of HBsAg \geq 8%), HBV is transmitted mainly from mother to child at birth, or from child to child during childhood early (<5 years). In this type of epidemiological context, the application of vaccination schedules that administer the first dose of the vaccine at birth in this way, in more than 90% of the cases, women positive to HBsAg transmit HBV to their children. The vaccine should be given as soon as possible (<24 h) after birth. In this context, we found infection with hepatitis B virus alone in children older than 10.3 years; an indicator that is possibly related to a decrease in the transmission from mother to child. Other studies have shown the impact of vaccination on this transmission mechanism, which may support this finding [21–27].

Our study has several strengths. It is a population-based study with a low likelihood of selection bias since we achieved a remarkable high rate of participation; almost all households which were asked to participate accepted. Another source of selection bias may arise if unvaccinated HBsAg-positive children had more chance to be selected for the study than unvaccinated ones. This

 Table 3

 Vaccination coverage with hepatitis B vaccine found in children surveyed.

State	Vaccinated children	Children in surveys	Vaccination coverage	CI 95%
Puerto Nariño	245	296	82.8	78.1-86.7
Leticia	495	513	96.5	94.6-97.9
Tarapacá	279	301	92.7	89.3-95.2
Puerto Santander	84	104	80.6	72.3-87.5
Total	1.103	1.214	90.8	89.1-92.3

Table 4

Multivariate model for Anti-HBc+ and HBsAg+ serological markers of HBV infection in children from rural area of Amazonas State, Colombia.

Made ² Total Made ² Total 4 Total 600 Total Total 7 Total 206 Total 1.31 Total 0.27-7.00 (P Fisher = .370) Fisher = .370) 7.5 Total 2.3-4 Total Affiliation to the health system Colombia Total Total 7 1.207 Total 7 1.185 Total 7 1.185 7 1.090 0.00-0.10 P Fisher < .001 0.11 0.09-0 Children vaccinated against hepatitis B in a timely manner No 6 105 Total 7 1.096 7 8.19 7 1.096 0.001-0.46 P Fisher < .001 0.10 0.002 Children vaccinated with dose against hepatitis B of newborn Yes ⁶⁴ 2 892 0.99 0.01-0.46 P Fisher < .011 0.10 0.01-0.02 Children vaccinated with dose against hepatitis B of newborn No 5 204 Total 7 1.096 <th></th> <th>Positive children for AntiHBc+/HBsAg+ marker+</th> <th>+</th> <th>_</th> <th>OR crude</th> <th>Cl 95% (p value)</th> <th>OR adjusted^a</th> <th>CI 95%</th>		Positive children for AntiHBc+/HBsAg+ marker+	+	_	OR crude	Cl 95% (p value)	OR adjusted ^a	CI 95%
	Sex	Female ^c	3	607	0.74	0.13-3.60 (P de Fisher = .494)	0.75	0.15-3.51 (p = .452)
Age Children over 10.3 years old ^{10,4} 7 296 1.31 0.27-7.00 (P Fisher = .370) 7.5 2.3-4 Affiliation to the health system Subsidized ^{10,4} 7 1.090 - P Fisher = .99 - p = .81 Colombia 0 53 - P Fisher = .99 - p = .81 Colombia 0 53 - - p = .81 Children vaccinated against Yes ^{5,6} 1 1.102 0.00 0.00-0.10 P Fisher < .001		Male ^b	4	600				
		Total	7	1.207				
	e	Children over 10.3 years old ^{b,c}	7	296	1.31	0.27-7.00 (P Fisher = .370)	7.5	2.3-43.2 (p < .001)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0		0	911		· · · · ·		(1)
Colombia Contributory Not a filiated post hepatitis B Contributory Not a filiated Total 0 53 Total S3 Total			7	1.207				
					-	P Fisher = .99	-	p = .87
		Contributory	0	53				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0	42				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1.185				
No6105Total71.20771.207Children vaccinated against hepatitis B in a timely mannerYes ^{N,C} 0277-P Fisher = .1310.060.002No781971.09671.0960.01-0.46 P Fisher < .001	nildren vaccinated against				0.01	0.00-0.10 P Fisher < .001	0.11	0.09-0.65 (p < .001)
		No	6	105				
Children vaccinated against hepatitis B in a timely manner Yes ^{b,c} 0 277 - P Fisher = .131 0.06 0.002 No 7 819 - 1096 - 0.01-0.46 P Fisher < .001								
No7819 TotalChildren vaccinated with doses against hepatitis B of newbornYes ^{b,C} 28920.090.01-0.46 P Fisher < .001	nildren vaccinated against				-	P Fisher = .131	0.06	0.002-0.21 (p < .001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		No	7	819				
$ \begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Children \ vaccinated \ with \ doses \ against \ hepatitis \ B \ of \ newborn \ & No \ & Total \ & Total \ & Total \ & Total \ & 2 \ & 258 \ & 10.96 \ &$								
Total yesb.cTotal yesb.cTotal yesb.cTotal yesb.cTotal<	nildren vaccinated with doses against hepatitis B of				0.09	0.01–0.46 P Fisher < .001	0.10	0.03-0.24 (p < .001)
Children vaccinated with doses against hepatitis B of newborn before 48 hYes ^{b,c} 0 305 -P Fisher = .4330.50.14-1No2587 258258258258258258268269 <td< td=""><td></td><td>No</td><td>5</td><td>204</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		No	5	204				
against hepatitis B of newborn before 48 h No 2 587 Total 2 258 Variable Desitive children for $+$ $-$ OR crude CI 95% (p value) OR adjusted ^a CI 95% Children in Tarapaca Yes ^{b,c} 20 281 2.2 P Fisher = <.01 2.2 No 281 2.2 P Fisher = <.01 2.2 No 281 1.167 Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against Yes ^{b,c} 22 1.081 0.07 0.03–0.13 P Fisher <.001 0.11 0.09–0 No 5 86 Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against Yes ^{b,c} 25 86 Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against Yes ^{b,c} 27 270 0.50 0.208–1.106 P Fisher .064 0.06 0.002- No 786 Total 47 1.056 Children vaccinated with doses Yes ^{b,c} 9 885 0.04 0.02–0.09 P Fisher <.001 0.01 0.001-		Total	7	1.096				
Total2258VariablePositive children for AntiHBc+/HBsAg- marker+-OR crudeCI 95% (p value)OR adjusted ^a CI 95%Children in TarapacaYes ^{b,c} 202812.2P fisher = <.01	against hepatitis B of	Yes ^{b,c}	0	305	-	P Fisher = .433	0.5	0.14-0.62 (p < .001)
Total2258VariablePositive children for AntiHBc+/HBsAg- marker+-OR crudeCI 95% (p value)OR adjusted ^a CI 95%Children in TarapacaYes ^{b,c} 202812.2P fisher = <.01		No	2	587				
AntiHBc+//HBsAg_ marker Children in Tarapaca Yes ^{b,c} 20 281 2.2 P Fisher = <.01 2.2 No 27 886 70 70 886 70 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Children in Tarapaca Yes ^{b,c} 20 281 2.2 P Fisher = <.01 2.2 No 27 886 7 1.167 7 22 1.081 0.07 0.03-0.13 P Fisher < .001			+	-	OR crude	CI 95% (p value)	OR adjusted ^a	CI 95%
No 27 886 Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against hepatitis B Yes ^{b,c} 22 1.081 0.07 0.03–0.13 P Fisher < .001	Children in Taranaca		20	281	2.2	P Fisher - < 01	2.2	
Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against Yes ^{b,c} 22 1.081 0.07 0.03–0.13 P Fisher < .001					2.2	1 1131101 = <.01	2.2	
Children vaccinated against hepatitis B Yes ^{b,c} 22 1.081 0.07 0.03-0.13 P Fisher < .001								
No 25 86 Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against hepatitis B in a timely manner Yes ^{b,c} 7 270 0.50 0.208–1.106 P Fisher .064 0.06 0.002 No 40 786 Total 47 1.056 Children vaccinated with doses against hepatitis B of Yes ^{b,c} 9 885 0.04 0.02–0.09 P Fisher < .001					0.07	0.03-0.13 P Fisher < .001	0.11	0.09-0.65 (p < .001)
Total 47 1.167 Children vaccinated against Yes ^{b,c} 7 270 0.50 0.208–1.106 P Fisher .064 0.06 0.002- hepatitis B in a timely manner No 40 786 Total 47 1.056 Children vaccinated with doses Yes ^{b,c} 9 885 0.04 0.02–0.09 P Fisher < .001		No	25	86				
Children vaccinated against hepatitis B in a timely manner Yes ^{b,c} 7 270 0.50 0.208–1.106 P Fisher .064 0.06 0.002- 0.002- 0.002 No 40 786 Total 47 1.056 Children vaccinated with doses Yes ^{b,c} 9 885 0.04 0.02–0.09 P Fisher < .001								
No 40 786 Total 47 1.056 Children vaccinated with doses Yes ^{b,c} 9 885 0.04 0.02–0.09 P Fisher < .001	nildren vaccinated against				0.50	0.208-1.106 P Fisher .064	0.06	0.002-0.21 (p < .001
Total 47 1.056 Children vaccinated with doses Yes ^{b,c} 9 885 0.04 0.02–0.09 P Fisher < .001		No	40	786				
Children vaccinated with dosesYes ^{b,c} 98850.040.02–0.09 P Fisher < .0010.010.001against hepatitis B of								
	nildren vaccinated with doses				0.04	0.02-0.09 P Fisher < .001	0.01	0.001-0.24 (p < .001
No 38 171		No	38	171				
Total 47 1.056								

^a Logistic regression model.

^b The reference variable is Positive children for AntiHBc+/HBsAg+ marker+ and all those that were coded with 1 and are marked with the symbol+.

^c This symbol indicates the reference group for each variable.

type of selection bias is unlikely since participants were selected without knowledge of their serological or vaccination status.

There is also a low risk of information bias on vaccination status. Also, the vaccination status was ascertained using the child's vaccination card, thus reducing the risk of information bias on one of the main exposures. Furthermore, we looked for occult hepatitis B carriage using DNA-based methods which also improved the accuracy and validity of HBV infection outcomes.

Participants were selected using non-random sampling procedures and urban children were not included in the survey. This may preclude us to generalize the results to other populations in Colombian Amazon. Clearly, rural children have a higher risk of HBsAg carriage than urban children. Therefore, we may conclude that prevalence in children living in urban dwellings should have an even lower prevalence than what we presented here. However, non-random sampling procedures do not invalidate our findings on the protective effect of HBV vaccination and birth dose, which is perhaps the most important result in our study.

Even though the results of this study are encouraging, there is still a long path ahead in order to completely eliminate HBV transmission in the Amazon. HBsAg carriage is still high in people born before vaccination, 8% in mothers as showed in the result. This means that there is a large pool of people that can transmit HBV by horizontal mechanism, unprotected sex or others. Therefore, additional measures should be taken in the Amazon, like HBsAg carrier identification, follow-up, treatment and education on sanitary measures to reduce the risk of unadvertised HBV transmission.

In order to continue surveillance of seroprevalence in this region as well to consider the differences with Latin American studies, it is important to continue performing seroprevalence studies that could include a standardized methodology every 10 year, to allowing the explanation of the findings on this study as well as the potential impact of hepatitis B vaccination in our region.

Funding

This study was financed by a Grant from Colciencias to Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Contract 298-2010 No. 11015192904.

References

- [1] Schweitzer A, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, Krause G, Ott JJ. Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. Lancet 2015;386 (10003):1546–55.
- [2] Díez-Padrisa N, Castellanos LG, PAHO Viral Hepatitis Working Group. Viral hepatitis in Latin America and the Caribbean: a public health challenge. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2013;34(4):275–81.
- [3] Bensabath G, Hadler SC, Soares MC, Fields H, Dias LB, Popper H, et al. Hepatitis delta virus infection and Labrea hepatitis. Prevalence and role in fulminant hepatitis in the Amazon Basin. JAMA 1987;258(4):479–83.
- [4] Cabezas-Sánchez C, Trujillo-Villarroel O, Zavaleta-Cortijo C, Culqui-Lévano D, Suarez-Jara M, Cueva-Maza N, et al. Prevalencia de la infección por el virus de hepatitis B en niños menores de 5 años de comunidades indígenas de la Amazonía peruana posterior a intervenciones mediante inmunización. Rev Perú med exp salud publica 2014;31(2):204–10. Available in: http://www.scielo.org.pe/scielo.php?pid=S1726-4634201400020003&script=sci_arttext [Consulted in March 17, 2017].
- [5] Martínez M, De La Hoz F. Estudio de seroprevalencia y factores de riesgo de infección con el virus de la hepatitis B en los ribereños del río Putumayo, Colombia, 1990–1991. Biomedica 1994;14(suppl 1):51.
- [6] Van Damme P. Long-term protection after hepatitis B vaccine. J Infect Dis 2016;214(1):1–3.
- [7] Peto TJ, Mendy ME, Lowe Y, Webb EL, Whittle HC, Hall AJ. Efficacy and effectiveness of infant vaccination against chronic hepatitis B in the Gambia Hepatitis Intervention Study (1986–90) and in the nationwide immunization program. BMC Infect Dis 2014;14:7.
- [8] Luo Z, Li L, Ruan B. Impact of the implementation of a vaccination strategy on hepatitis B virus infections in China over a 20-year period. Int J Infect Dis 2012;16(2):e82–8.
- [9] Liang X, Bi S, Yang W, Wang L, Cui G, Cui F, et al. Evaluation of the impact of hepatitis B vaccination among children born during 1992–2005 in China. J Infect Dis 2009;200(1):39–47.
- [10] Wilson N, Ruff TA, Rana BJ, Leydon J, Locarnini S. The effectiveness of the infant hepatitis B immunisation program in Fiji, Kiribati, Tonga and Vanuatu. Vaccine 2000;18(26):3059–66.
- [11] World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on hand hygiene in health care. Ginebra: World Health Organization; 2009. Available in: http://apps.who.int/

iris/bitstream/10665/44102/1/9789241597906_eng.pdf> [Consulted: March 17, 2017].

- [12] Ropero AM, Danovaro-Holliday MC, Andrus JK. Progress in vaccination against hepatitis B in the Americas. J Clin Virol 2005;34(suppl 2):S14–9.
- [13] Braga WS, Castilho Mda C, Borges FG, Martinho AC, Rodrigues IS, Azevedo EP, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection and carriage after nineteen years of vaccination program in the Western Brazilian Amazon. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2012;45(1):13–7.
- [14] de la Hoz F, Perez L, de Neira M, Hall AJ. Eight years of hepatitis B vaccination in Colombia with a recombinant vaccine: factors influencing hepatitis B virus infection and effectiveness. Int J Infect Dis 2008;12(2):183–9.
- [15] Choconta-Piraquive LA, De la Hoz-Restrepo F, Sarmiento-Limas CA. Compliance with birth dose of Hepatitis B vaccine in high endemic and hard to reach areas in the Colombian amazon: results from a vaccination survey. BMC Health Serv Res 2016;16:293.
- [16] de la Hoz F, Perez L, Wheeler JG, de Neira M, Hall AJ. Vaccine coverage with hepatitis B and other vaccines in the Colombian Amazon: do health worker knowledge and perception influence coverage? Trop Med Int Health 2005;10 (4):322–9.
- [17] Devesa M, Loureiro CL, Rivas Y, Monsalve F, Cardona N, Duarte MC, et al. Subgenotype diversity of hepatitis B virus American genotype F in Amerindians from Venezuela and the general population of Colombia. J Med Virol 2008;80:20–6.
- [18] Ximenes RA, Figueiredo GM, Cardoso MR, Stein AT, Moreira RC, Coral G, et al. Population-based multicentric survey of hepatitis B infection and risk factors in the north, south, and southeast regions of Brazil, 10–20 years after the beginning of vaccination. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2015;93(6):1341–8.
- [19] Ott JJ, Stevens GA, Groeger J, Wiersma ST. Global epidemiology of hepatitis B virus infection: new estimates of age-specific HBsAg seroprevalence and endemicity. Vaccine 2012;30(12):2212–9.
- [20] Luna EJ, Veras MA, Flannery B, de Moraes JC, Vaccine Coverage Survey 2007 Group. Household survey of hepatitis B vaccine coverage among Brazilian children. Vaccine 2009;27(39):5326–31.
- [21] Shrestha AC, Ghimire P, Tiwari BR, Rajkarnikar M. Transfusion-transmissible infections among blood donors in Kathmandu, Nepal. J Infect Dev Ctries 2009;3(10):794–7.
- [22] Chander A, Pahwa VK. Status of infectious disease markers among blood donors in a teaching hospital, Bhairahawa, western Nepal. J Commun Dis 2003;35(3):188–97.
- [23] Karki S, Ghimire P, Tiwari BR, Maharjan A, Rajkarnikar M. Trends in hepatitis B and hepatitis C seroprevalence among Nepalese blood donors. Jpn J Infect Dis 2008;61(4):324–6.
- [24] Tiwari BR, Ghimire P, Kandel SR, Rajkarnikar M. Seroprevalence of HBV and HCV in blood donors: a study from regional blood transfusion services of Nepal. Asian J Transfus Sci 2010;4(2):91–3.
- [25] Bidya S. HBsAg carriers among healthy Nepalese men: a serological survey. J Health Popul Nutr 2002;20(3):235–8.
- [26] Henderson A, Bowler AJ. Hepatitis B surface antigen carriage in healthy Nepalese men. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1987;81(5):875.
- [27] Joshi SK, Ghimire GR. Serological prevalence of antibodies to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) among healthy Nepalese males – a retrospective study. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2003;1 (4):251–5.